

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/003,011 11/01/2001 Roy K. Greenberg PA-5270-RFB 3255 EXAMINER 7590 09/08/2004 Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione PHILOGENE, PEDRO P.O. Box 10395 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** Chicago, IL 60610 3732

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, 1, 1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,011	GREENBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pedro Philogene	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second of th	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	lay 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bates (6,096,053).

With respect to claim 1, Bates discloses a medical grasping device comprising: an elongate control member (12) having an atraumatic distal tip section (22) and a proximal end portion; as best seen in Fig.8A, the elongate control member further including a grasping portion (16) proximal the distal tip section; an outer sheath (32) with a passageway therethrough, as best seen in FIG.8A, surrounding the elongate control member and relatively movable with respect thereto; and a control assembly (34,18,20) disposed at a proximal end of the outer sheath and the proximal end portion of the elongate control member; as best seen in FIG.8A, and in operative relation thereto for urging the grasping portion from a distal end of the outer sheath and retraction thereinto; as best seen in FIGS. 8A-8C.

With respect to claims 2, 4-6, Bates discloses all the limitations, as set forth in column 6, lines 19-65, and as best seen in FIGS. 8A-8C.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates (6,096,053) in view of Gunther et al. (5,330,484).

With respect to claim 3, it noted that Bates did not teach of a hemostatic seal between the sheath and the elongate control member; as claimed by applicant.

However, in a similar art, Gunther et al evidence the use of a hemostatic seal to hold the legs of the of a grid body.

Therefore, given the teaching of Gunther et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bates, as taught by Gunther et al to provide a hemostatic seal between the sheath and the elongate control member to hold the legs of the grasping portion.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates (6,096,053) in view of Avellanet (6,264,664).

With respect to claim 7, it is noted that Bates teaches all the limitations, except for a connecting block affixed to the control member disposed in a longitudinal slot; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Avellanet evidences the use of a

Art Unit: 3732

connecting block disposed in a slot to move the grasping device in and out of the sheath.

Therefore, given the teaching of Avellanet, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the connecting block and the slot in the device of Avellanet with the control assembly of the device of Bates to facilitate the in and out of the grasping device within the sheath.

Claims 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates (6,096,053) in view of Hillstead (5,098,440).

With respect to claims 8-21, it is noted that Bates teaches all the limitations, except for wire loops that are substantially circular upon full deployment, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Hillstead evidences the use of wire loops that are circular upon full deployment and having side sections that overlap and touch the vessel wall to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

Therefore, given the teaching of Hillstead, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the design of the grasping device of Hillstead in the grasping device of Bates to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

With respect to claim 22, the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth above.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene September 03, 2004

PEDRO PHILOCENE PRIMARY EXAMINER